

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,873	11/03/2003	Michael R. Anderson	ichael R. Anderson 10008.3855 2872	
22235	7590 01/10/2005		EXAMINER	
MALIN HALEY AND DIMAGGIO, PA 1936 S ANDREWS AVENUE			BUI, LUAN KIM	
	ERDALE, FL 33316		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3728	

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SP

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/605,873	ANDERSON, MICHAEL R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Luan K Bui	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.		,				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the B	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:					

•₹

#### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in the brief description of drawings, "Figure 8A is a ..." is inaccurate because there is no Figure 8A in the drawings. Appropriate correction is required.

#### **Priority**

2. This application filed under former 37 CFR 1.60 lacks the necessary reference to the prior application. A statement reading "This is a CIP of Application No. 10/155,461, filed 5/24/2002, now U.S. Patent No. 6,644,471." should be entered following the title of the invention or as the first sentence of the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bowes et al. (3,156,369; hereinafter Bowes'369). Bowes'369 discloses a capsule that contains liquid and/or dry material to be subsequently dispensed into a container (11) comprising a capsule body (15, 26) that is impervious to liquid including a first member (15) and a second member (26) mountable in the first member. The first member body having a top opening and a sealed closed bottom (16) and a second member having a sealed closed top (28) and an open bottom and a

Application/Control Number: 10/605,873

Art Unit: 3728

cutting element (29, 34) defining the opening bottom. The first and second members in a first mode are sealed forming the capsule body preventing any liquid or dry material from escaping the capsule body by a resilient material band (35) (column 4, lines 1-7). As to claim 3, Bowes'369 discloses the first member sealed bottom includes an area of weakened material (31) around its periphery. As to claim 4, the first member (15) has a sealing means (35) that engages the second member.

Page 3

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guasch et al. (5,782,345; hereinafter Guasch'345). Guasch'345 discloses a capsule that contains liquid and/or dry material to be subsequently dispensed into a container (1) comprising a capsule body that is impervious to liquid including a first member (9-14) and a second member (15) mountable in the first member. The first member body having a top opening and a sealed closed bottom (28) and a second member having a sealed closed top (20) and an open bottom and a cutting element (17) defining the opening bottom. The first and second members in a first mode are sealed forming the capsule body preventing any liquid or dry material from escaping the capsule body by sealing rings (16). As to claim 6, the second member (15) has a hole (22) in the closed end and removable sealing means (23) covering the hole.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Page 4

4

Art Unit: 3728

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowes et al. 7. (3,156,369; hereinafter Bowes'369) in view of Rizzardi (5,038,951). Bowes'369 discloses the capsule that contains liquid and/or dry material to be subsequently dispensed into a container (11) as above having all the limitations of the claim including the first member has a peripheral lip (21) except for the lip comprises apertures. Rizzardi shows a cap (10) having a peripheral lip with apertures (15) (Figures 1-3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Rizzardi to modify the first member of Bowes'369 so the lip includes apertures to reduce the material and to reduce the cost of manufacture.
- Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowes et al. 8. (3,156,369; hereinafter Bowes'369) in view of Pittman (6,053,314). Bowes'369 discloses the capsule that contains liquid and/or dry material to be subsequently dispensed into a container (11) as above having all the limitations of the claim except for the second member has a hole in the closed end and removable sealing means cover the hole. Pittman shows a lid (32) having a hole (56) and removable sealing means (60) covering the hole. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Pittman to modify the second member of Bowes'369 so the second member includes a hole in the closed end and removable sealing means covering the hole to facilitate inserting the material into the capsule body.

Art Unit: 3728

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-4552. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Ms. Merilyn Watts at (571) 272-4398.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (703) 872-9306 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

lkb January 6, 2005

Luan K. Bui Primary Examiner